Clerk **District Court** OCT 2 3 2007 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTFor The Northern Mariana Islands FOR THE By_ 2 (Deputy Clerk) NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 3 4 LI YING HUA, LI ZHENG ZHE and XU Civil Action No. 05-0019 JING JI. 5 6 Plaintiffs, Order Re Case Management 7 Conference VS. 8 JUNG JIN CORPORATION, a CNMI Corporation, ASIA ENTERPRISES INC., 9 a CNMI Corporation, PARK HWA SUN 10 and KIN HANG KWON, 11 Defendants, 12 13 Mark B. Hanson Kelley M. Butcher Attorney at Law Attorney at Law 14 PMB 738, Box 10000 P.O. Box 504907 15 Saipan, MP 96950 Saipan, MP 96950 16 In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Local Rule 16.2CJ, 17 each of the above-named shall be present at the Case Management Conference, set 18 for Friday, November 16, 2007, at 8:30 a.m. 19 20 The parties shall be prepared to discuss: 21 Service of process on parties not yet served; (a) 22 (b) Jurisdiction and venue; 23 (c) Track assignment; 24 (d) Anticipated motions; 25 (e) Anticipated or remaining discovery, including (1) limitation on discovery, 26 (2) and provisions for disclosure or discovery of electronically stored

Case 1:05-cv-00019

Document 183

Filed 10/23/2007

Page 1 of 3

FILED

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	\parallel

information; and, (3) any agreements the parties reach for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after production;

- (f) Further proceedings, including setting dates for discovery cut-off, pretrial and trial;
- (g) Appropriateness of special procedures such as consolidation of actitors discovery or pretrial, reference to a master or to arbitration, or to the Judicial Panel on Multi-district Litigation, or application of the Manual for Complex Litigation;
- (h) Modifications of the standard pretrial procedures specified by this Plan on account of the relative simplicity or complexity of the action or proceeding;
- (i) Settlement prospects;
- (j) Any other matter which may be conducive to the just, efficient, and economical determination of the proceedings, including the definition or limitation of issues; and,
- (k) Setting of dates for:
 - 1. Joinder of all parties,
 - 2. Motions to amend,
 - 3. Discovery cut-off,
 - 4. Status Conferences,
 - 5. Discovery motion hearing date,
 - 6. Dispositive motion cut-off,
 - 7. Dispositive motion hearing date,
 - 8. Settlement conference.
 - 9. Joint pretrial order,
 - 10. Final pretrial order,
 - 11. Trial.

The Court recommends that this case be assigned to the Standard track as defined by Local Rule 16.2CJ.c.

The parties are reminded that the dates chosen at the conference will not be susceptible to subsequent easy change. Fed.R.Civ.P.16(b)(6) provides in part that a

23

24

25

26

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

case management scheduling order "shall not be modified except upon a showing of good cause and by leave of the district judge[.]" To establish good cause, a party must generally show that even with the exercise of due diligence it cannot meet the order's time table. See e.g. <u>Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.</u>, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). The liberal amendment policy of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15 no longer obtains once the case management scheduling order has been entered. See <u>Coleman v. Quaker Oats</u> <u>Co.</u>, 232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23rd day of October, 2007

ALEX R. MUNSON Judge

AO 72 (Rev. 8/82)